

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/664,636	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KOCOT, REBECCA A.	
	<b>Examiner</b> INSUN KANG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2193	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) INSUN KANG (examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Hanke (reg. on 58,076).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 December 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The amendments in this examiner's amendment that were necessary in order to further clarify the claims and obviate any rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 2<sup>nd</sup> were discussed during the interview. An agreement has been reached.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)